



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/054,366 01/22/2002		James W. Cooper	YOR9-2001-0647US1 8382			
29683	7590	09/23/2005		EXAMINER		
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE				BETIT, JACOB F		
SHELTON, CT 06484-6212				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2164		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4)
$\overline{}$	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
COOPER ET AL.	
Art Unit	
2164	
	COOPER ET AL. Art Unit

	Jacob F. Betit	2164					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the linar rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL	" 21 07 OFD 44 07	rial total and a					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	i E below);					
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		ducina or simplifyina	the iccurs for				
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	lite issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	, -						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(, .				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	,	,	g				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>8,9,23 and 24</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,10-22 and 25-33</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A bassar and an Abandaha as Silana a Ni	- 41 4 A 1	. 4				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	or be entered s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
•		CHARLES	one				
4		CHARLES	RONES				

MARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly proposed amendments to claims 1, 10, 16, 25, 31, and 32 do not all recite the exact same limitations as allowed claim 8. Some of the added limitations are written to include a broader scope than that of the limitations found in claim 8, and some of the independent claims had a broader scope than claim 8 to begin with and are still broader in scope after adding the added limitations. These newly formed claims (previously presented claims with newly added limitations) would require further consideration and/or search.